Chair Self-Evaluation Form

This questionnaire is part of a project designed to obtain information about your role as Department Chair. Self-evaluation has been demonstrated to be one of the most important facets of performance evaluations. This questionnaire inquires about your own perspective on the same areas about which faculty members in your department will provide feedback to you. Some areas will not pertain to your particular department or roles (e.g., Clinical for Basic Science Departments); simply check Not Applicable for these categories. The questions are grouped into categories and the first question in each area is omitted the faculty form asks faculty to attest to having sufficient familiarity to rate this area. Please designate the degree to which you believe you:

ADMINISTRATION

1. Omitted						
2. Effectively direct private or public fund raising						
C Strongly Disagree	C	C C Neutral Agre	ee Strongly Ag	Gree Not Applicable		
3. Effectively manage	ge departmental fin	ances				
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
4. Identify administ	rative talents of ot	hers and delegate to	o them			
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
5. Have a well-orga	nized, efficient adn	ninistration				
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
6. Effectively manage	ge department reso	urces				
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
7. Fairly allocate res	sources to faculty					
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
8. Follow the College of Medicine/Departmental faculty compensation plan in awarding annual raises.						
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
9. Follow the College of Medicine/Departmental faculty compensation plan in awarding bonuses.						
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree		
10. Employ good problem-solving skills						
C	C	C	C	C		

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
11. Maintain communication to faculty & divisions						
	0	0	C	0		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
12. Identify sources	s of ineffectiveness	and frustration				
E	C	C	С	C		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
			J	3, 3		
13. Overall rating as						
C				C		
Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
14. Comments abou	ıt Administrative Sk	ills:				
CLINICAL						
15. Omitted						
			E			
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
16. Show a committ	ment to excellence i	in patient care				
C	C		C	C		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
17. Skillfully manag	e financial matters	in the clinical enter	nrice			
			С	С		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
				20.21.3.7 1.3.22		
18. Are actively con				p-a		
Ctrongly Diangroo	Disagras	Noutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
19. Provide sufficier						
C			C			
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
20. Value the clinica	20. Value the clinical skills of faculty, residents, and fellows					
C	C		C			
				Ci l A		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
			Agree	Strongly Agree		
Strongly Disagree 21. Promote innova			Agree	Strongly Agree		

22. Promote quality of care with reasonable patient volume expected						
	0	C	C	0		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
22. 0						
23. Overall rating i	n clinical endeavors					
	C			<u> </u>		
Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
	l. 61 l					
24. Comments regarding Clinical endeavors:						
			$\overline{}$			
RESEARCH						
25. Omitted						
	0		C	0		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
26. Distribute reso	urces fairly, includii	ng research snace				
		E	C	С		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree						
27. Distribute resources in accordance with strategic plan for research						
	<u>C</u>	C	C			
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
28. Are committed	to quality research					
	0	C	C	0		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
20 Support impour	tion in vocanuch					
29. Support innova		p-1	p-1	p-a		
Ctrongly Diangrap	Disagras	C Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutrai	Agree	Strongly Agree		
30. Match protecte	d time to expected	productivity				
	0					
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
31. Provide resources to support research productivity						
С	C	C	C	С		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
	r/intra department					
	C	E .		C		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
33. Are an excellent researcher						

C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree	
34. Overall rating i	n research role				
C Poor	C Fair	C Good	C Very Good	C Excellent	
35. Comments rega	arding Research role	e:	<u>A</u>		
LEADERSHIP					
36. Omitted C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree	
37. Delegate autho	rity and empowers	faculty and staff			
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	
38. Consider facult	y opinions before m	aking decisions			
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree	
39. Do not allow fa	voritism to influence	e important decisio	ns		
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree	
40. Are accessible	and respond to conc	erns of faculty			
C Strongly Disagree	D isagree	C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree	
41. Are a good faci	litator and manage	conflict well			
C Strongly Disagree	D isagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree	
42. Weather setbacks with calm and understanding					
C Strongly Disagree	D isagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree	
43. Respond positively to constructive criticism					
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree	
44. Facilitate intra-	departmental comm	nunication			

Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
45. Lead by example	e			
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
46. Project a clear v	rision of the depart	ment		
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
47. Effectively repre	esent the departme	nt within the COM		
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
48. Effectively repre	esent the departme	nt regionally and/o	r nationally	
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
49. Appropriately o	versee division/sec	tion chiefs in their i	responsibilities	
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
50. Overall rating as	s a leader role			
C Poor	C Fair	C Good	C Very Good	C Excellent
51. Comments on Lo	eadership skills and	l style:		
FDUCATION			v	
EDUCATION 52. Omitted			v	
EDUCATION 52. Omitted C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
52. Omitted	Disagree	Neutral		_
52. Omitted Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral		_
52. Omitted Strongly Disagree 53. Support and end	Disagree Courage quality teach C Disagree	Neutral ching C Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
52. Omitted Strongly Disagree 53. Support and end Strongly Disagree	Disagree Courage quality teach C Disagree	Neutral ching C Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
56. Are persistent i	n efforts to improve	teaching at all leve	els	
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree
57. Are committed	to recruiting the bes	st students, resider	its, fellows	
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree
58. Provide approp	riate resources for s	uperior teaching		
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
59. Are an excellen	t teacher			
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree
60. Overall rating a	s an educator role			
Poor	C Fair	C Good	C Very Good	Excellent
C1 Commonte cha	Fd.,			
61. Comments abou	ut Educational role:		<u> </u>	
61. Comments about	ut Educational role:		A	
	ut Educational role:		▲	
MENTORSHIP	ut Educational role:		△ ▼	C
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted		C Neutral	Agree	C Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted C Strongly Disagree	C	Neutral	Agree	
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go	Disagree pals for faculty, staff	Neutral f, residents, fellows	Agree	Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go	Disagree	Neutral , residents, fellows	Agree	Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go Strongly Disagree	Disagree pals for faculty, staff	Neutral f, residents, fellows Neutral	Agree C Agree	Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go Strongly Disagree 64. Provide useful a	Disagree pals for faculty, staff Disagree advice on faculty de	Neutral f, residents, fellows Neutral	Agree Agree Agree regular feedback	Strongly Agree C Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go Strongly Disagree 64. Provide useful a Strongly Disagree	Disagree pals for faculty, staff Disagree advice on faculty de Disagree	Neutral f, residents, fellows Neutral velopment & offers Neutral	Agree Agree regular feedback Agree Agree	Strongly Agree C Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go Strongly Disagree 64. Provide useful a Strongly Disagree 65. Advocate for face	Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree	Neutral F, residents, fellows Neutral Velopment & offers Neutral Neutral	Agree Agree regular feedback Agree Agree accomplishments	Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree
MENTORSHIP 62. Omitted Strongly Disagree 63. Sets realistic go Strongly Disagree 64. Provide useful a Strongly Disagree	Disagree pals for faculty, staff Disagree advice on faculty de Disagree	Neutral f, residents, fellows Neutral velopment & offers Neutral	Agree Agree regular feedback Agree Agree	Strongly Agree C Strongly Agree

C	C	C	•	C		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
67. Involve faculty	and section chiefs in	n program planning	l			
0	0	0	C	0		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
68. Support faculty	development and le	adership skill deve	lopment in others			
C	C	C	C	C		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
69. Foster faculty in	nvolvement in state,	/national groups &	assists in network	cin a		
С	С	C	C	C		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
70. Promote mento	ring by senior facult	v & selects chiefs v	who mentor well			
С	C	C	C	С		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
71. Provide approp	riate resources for f	aculty success				
С	С	C	C	С		
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
72. Overall rating a	s a mentor					
C	C	С	С	C		
Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
73. Comments on M	lentoring role:					
			=			
OTHER						
74. I am confident	74. I am confident that, as Chair, I can skillfully lead the department for next 5 years.					
C	C	C	C	0		
Strongly Disagree		C Neutral	C Agree	Strongly Agree		
Strongly Disagree		Neutral	Agree			
Strongly Disagree 75. Do you want iss	Disagree	Neutral urvey discussed at	Agree			
Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	Neutral urvey discussed at	Agree			
75. Do you want iss Yes	Disagree sues raised by this s N	Neutral urvey discussed at	Agree			
75. Do you want iss Yes 76. Overall rating of	Disagree Sues raised by this s Note:	Neutral urvey discussed at	Agree a department facu	ilty meeting?		
75. Do you want iss Yes 76. Overall rating of	Disagree Sues raised by this s N f Chair	Neutral urvey discussed at	Agree a department facu	ulty meeting?		
75. Do you want iss Yes 76. Overall rating of	Disagree Sues raised by this s Note:	Neutral urvey discussed at	Agree a department facu	ilty meeting?		

			A	
C 1 year	C 2 years		C years	C 4 years
79. I believe that this	s type of evaluation	n is very valuable 1	for providing fe	edback.
C Strongly Disagree	C Disagree	C Neutral	C Agree	C Strongly Agree
				<u>S</u> ubmit Form

Please complete this form and click on the submit button.

Generated by

on December 15, 2003 at 2:13 PM for Shae Kosch (19:58) Level 2